

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,890	TANASE, GABRIEL EUGEN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan T. Lam	2816	

All Participants:

(1) Tuan T. Lam.

(2) attorney Roger W. Blakely (Reg. no. 25,831).

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7, 9, 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to cancel the non-elected claim 10 and to amend claims 7 and 9 in order to comply with the 35USC 112, second paragraph and to place the application in a condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



TUAN T. LAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)